

**Subject:** Re: Final Westchester BID Renewal Documents

**From:** Dennis Rader <dennis.rader@lacity.org>

**Date:** 02/25/2011 09:41 AM

**To:** Donald Duckworth <duckworth.donald@gmail.com>

**CC:** "Scott, Rick" <rick.scott@lacity.org>

Don,

I just started looking at the rest of the documents, and the first thing I noticed was the list of public parcels in the ER: the second two are 5547-026-900 & 901? where are these parcel numbers coming from?

The three public parcels in the MDP are ok, except for that change from 916 to 918 that we already discussed.

Thought you might want to correct these ahead of time.

On Fri, Feb 25, 2011 at 9:24 AM, Donald Duckworth <[duckworth.donald@gmail.com](mailto:duckworth.donald@gmail.com)> wrote:

You got it.

On Fri, Feb 25, 2011 at 9:18 AM, Dennis Rader <[dennis.rader@lacity.org](mailto:dennis.rader@lacity.org)> wrote:

Don,

So you're regarding 4124-002-916 as "fenced vacant lot" vs. "accessible from the district and used exclusively for long term airport parking". I guess since this parcel is long term airport parking I thought you were regarding it as such (but there is a fence). So then only comment #1 applies, which is an easy fix. Thanks for your clarification on that.

On Fri, Feb 25, 2011 at 8:39 AM, Donald Duckworth <[duckworth.donald@gmail.com](mailto:duckworth.donald@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yikes!

Re your comment 1), you are right. This is a "typo" that has now been corrected in all of the docs. I can re-submit now or later as you all prefer. It must have crept into the system from the mapping.

Re your comment 2), I think you are mis-reading the document. 4124-002-916 is "fenced vacant lot," not accessible from the District and meeting the other criteria set out in that paragraph on page 14, and is therefore appropriately assessed on the basis of front foot only, which it

is. You may have been looking at 4122-024-918, which is assessed on the basis of lot sf and front foot. (This parcel has no improvement sf to assess.)

Does this clear your comment?

Thanks for the good catch Dennis!!

On Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 11:37 AM, Dennis Rader  
<[dennis.rader@lacity.org](mailto:dennis.rader@lacity.org)> wrote:

Don,

There may be more issues coming from Rick, but what I see from my end is that your MDP/database have the same two issues that I asked you to correct in December:

1) 4122-024-916 should be 918.

2) In your MDP, you mention that you are assessing 4124-002-916 for parcel sqft and frontage, but in the data you are assessing for frontage only.

On Thu, Feb 24, 2011 at 6:25 AM, Donald Duckworth  
<[duckworth.donald@gmail.com](mailto:duckworth.donald@gmail.com)> wrote:

Per our review and discussions submitted herewith are the final Westchester Town Center PBID Renewal documents. We will generate the Board of Director's approval and letter as soon as your acceptance of these documents is confirmed.

Time is of the essence and we would like to begin the Petition Drive as soon as possible. How quickly may we proceed?

As always, as we may be of any additional assistance, please let me know. Thank you.

--  
Dennis Rader  
Technical Research Supervisor  
213-978-1120

--  
Dennis Rader  
Technical Research Supervisor  
213-978-1120

--  
Dennis Rader  
Technical Research Supervisor  
213-978-1120